

AN INDUSTRY BRIEFING FROM GRAIL

What's Changing in the Consultants You're Building

Your rainmakers are taking calls. Your Level 1 pathway is being absorbed by McKinsey Agents and Unity Advisory. Your 2030 partner bench is being shaped by decisions you were not invited to. A briefing for Chief Human Resources Officers at mid-market European strategy and management consulting boutiques.

PREPARED BY

Johan Grönstedt · GRAIL

FOR

European mid-market strategy
and management consulting
boutiques

DATE

April 2026

Monday, 8:47 a.m.

Every CHRO at a mid-sized European strategy boutique has had the same Monday. Three things in the inbox. Your Head of Talent forwarded Friday's resignation from your best Level 3 senior consultant, the one on the engagement-manager track, the one three clients had asked for by name on the next RFP. She went to a McKinsey Agents-led practice. Your CFO attached the Mercer thriving report to Thursday's partner-committee calendar invite, with a single-line note: "need your read before this lands at the offsite." Your Managing Partner forwarded a note from the works council opening framework-agreement consultations on three Copilot deployments she did not know had shipped through the digital practice.

You have held this seat for four years. The pattern used to be simple. Hire the best graduates. Train them through the Level 1 grind. Promote the ones who survive. Protect the rainmakers who carry the books. The business was understandable.

Something changed. Your Level 1 analyst pathway compressed quietly through 2024 and 2025 because the research, modelling, and slide work that built the structural-thinking muscle is running on agents. Your senior consultants are reading every AI deployment as a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned for them or around them. Your Level 3 who resigned on Friday was not the first warning. Your thriving signal dropped at the last engagement survey and nobody reconstructed the practice-level story. Your works council has more evidence of shadow Copilot use in the firm than sanctioned use.

You do not have a people problem. You have an authorship problem. Your firm's workforce strategy is being written every week in decisions you were not invited to. The question is not whether to have one. It is whose handwriting the one you already have is in. Your senior consultants read it last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2030 partner bench is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

This briefing covers what is happening in your sector, what it means for the people function you run, and the three questions your leadership team should answer before the next partner committee.

The boutique just lost the equation that ran it for two centuries

For two centuries, the economic architecture of a strategy boutique ran on a simple exchange. Analysts did the research, the modelling, the benchmarking, the slide production. Senior consultants and partners exposed them by osmosis to the judgment calls that became the apprenticeship. Over fifteen years the best analysts became partners, and the firm's pattern-recognition compounded faster than the firm's headcount. Sixty to seventy percent of your billable revenue came from Level 1 and Level 2 hours. That layer is the most exposed to AI of any layer in any professional services firm.

The AI-native entrants in your category are not experimenting. Unity Advisory launched on \$300M of Warburg Pincus capital with the explicit pitch that the pyramid is obsolete. DiligenceSquared runs at ninety percent cost reduction on diligence engagements that used to be McKinsey or Bain work. The replacement structure has a name: the obelisk model. Above you, McKinsey Agents and BCG X and Bain Vector are productising the senior strategic offer with AI-native delivery economics, and your rainmakers are being recruited weekly. Industry EBITDA dropped to a five-year low. Billable utilisation fell below the threshold that funds the bench.

Your apprenticeship model ran for two centuries on Level 1 work that is no longer yours to give. The question is whether the pathway gets rebuilt before the 2030 partner opening has no internal candidate.

Client procurement has the iron grip. Seventy-three percent prefer outcome-based pricing. Firms on time-based pricing grew 2.1 percent last year. Firms on value-based grew 8.7. Your rainmakers — the partners whose books are largest and whose lift-out risk is highest — are watching which way the firm moves. With PE capital available and AI lowering the cost of going independent, the lift-out math has never been more attractive. The Managing Partner who cannot articulate a compelling firm-level AI strategy at the next partner offsite risks losing the people whose departure would halve the firm.

Your people function is feeling it every day

Your talent acquisition. Your best Level 3 resigned on Friday. Your best lateral hire of the last quarter came from an AI-native entrant who read your firm's hesitation as opportunity. Your graduate offer-accept rate is holding, but the best graduates are asking in second-round interviews what the firm's AI strategy is, and "we are thinking about it" is not an answer that wins them against Unity Advisory. Senior consultants and rainmakers do not quit on the spot. They start taking calls. By the time retention moves in the dashboard, they have already decided.

Your L&D. Your firm's L&D budget is allocated to compliance modules, an analyst-cohort training week, and an annual partner offsite. None of it is designed for continuous in-the-work coaching, agent-paired engagement work, or deliberate cohort rotation across practices. Two populations are forming inside your firm whether you designed it or not. The top decile is using AI daily and building pattern-recognition that compounds weekly. The rest are still treating AI as a faster Google. Both groups are becoming who they practice being. At a boutique, where the firm sells thinking, this matters more than at any other professional services firm.

Your compensation architecture. Your rate card and partner-track compensation reward billable hours and utilisation. Agents are compressing the hours. Your rainmakers see the math earlier than your committee does. With PE capital available and AI-native economics lowering the cost of independence, the partners whose books are most defensible are reading every quarter's compensation run for a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned around them or without them. The comp committee that met last month did not see this.

Your employee relations and works council. Your works council has opened framework-agreement consultations on three Copilot deployments that shipped through the digital practice without HR in the room. The regulatory load on people functions has stacked: AI literacy documentation, recruiting and performance-management systems classified as high-risk, works-council framework agreements, data-minimisation in new agentic engagement tools. None of it shows up on the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk. All of it lands on you.

Your workforce planning and succession. Your 2030 partner bench has six names on it. At least three came through the Level 1 production work that McKinsey Agents and Unity Advisory have already absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external senior hire in 2030 will cost a thirty to forty percent premium, take eighteen months to ramp, and bring the previous firm's playbooks. On a long enough horizon, the CHRO who cut the analyst layer in 2024 is the CHRO the partner committee blames for the 2030 partner opening with no internal candidate.

The apprenticeship clock was always the architecture

Your sector is repricing from below because the Level 1 production layer is compressing. Your people function is stretched because every deployment decision, every budget line, every framework-agreement opening is a fragment of a workforce strategy that somebody is writing and you are not always in the room when they do. Same force, two altitudes. But there is a third thing happening that most boutique CHROs have not named yet.

Your firm's workforce strategy is being written every week. The Copilot licence approved in the digital practice. The headcount line cut in operations. The framework agreement opened without HR in the room. Your senior consultants read the handwriting last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2030 partner bench is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

Bell Labs produced the transistor in thirty months and information theory in one paper because the junior sat next to the senior on the hardest problem in the building, not in a research cohort down the hall. Juniors watched every judgment call in real time. Chemists sat with physicists sat with mathematicians. Graduation was earned on the work, not by time in seat. The apprenticeship clock was the architecture. That architecture is available to your firm for the first time in two centuries, because agents make it affordable to put analysts next to senior consultants again, instead of next to first-draft slides.

You can tell which camp a boutique is in from a single question. Of your successors on the 2030 list, which ones came through work that still exists? Most boutiques cannot answer. The ones that can have already rebuilt the pathway.

You have twelve to eighteen months to author the answer deliberately, or the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk writes it for you. Same technology. Same workforce. Different outcome depending on whose handwriting the answer is in.

What most boutiques are doing. What the winners are doing instead.

Every CHRO has had the AI conversation. Most are making the same four decisions. A smaller group is making different ones.

THE DEFAULT PATH

Restrict AI tools for safety and compliance

Sixty-nine percent of consultants use AI anyway. Senior consultants read restriction as mistrust and start taking recruiter calls.

Cut the analyst layer for this year's number

Cheaper function in Q1. Empty engagement-manager bench by 2028. External senior hire at a premium the firm cannot absorb.

Announce firm-wide redesign at the partner committee

Rainmakers whose comp gets compressed have the power to kill it. Vote fails. Lift-out risk rises in the same week.

Ship the annual engagement survey as your main deliverable

Your cadence is slower than the CFO's quarterly deck. Cost-reduction writes the workforce answer. You inherit the damage.

THE WINNERS' PATH

Publish three rules that defend real trust

Routing by task sensitivity, not by tool. Audit trail writes itself. Rainmakers watch how you lean when an experiment fails.

Ship one role redesigned as a triad unit

Senior consultant + analyst + agent ensemble as one delivery unit. Analyst sits inside every judgment call from week one. Apprenticeship compresses fifteen years to seven.

Six rainmakers author their own practice redesigns first

Eight-week council cadence. They bring it to the committee as work they authored. Lift-out risk converts to ownership equity.

Publish the for-them memo in first 100 days

Two pages, co-signed by the Managing Partner, referenced every quarter. Every AI deployment gets decoded against it.

Same workforce. Same twelve months. Opposite outcomes. The difference is whose hand is on the pen.

THREE QUESTIONS

Talent Gravity. Capability Compounding. Succession Readiness.

Three questions every CHRO is tracking. None of them used to be the same question. They are now.

01 · TALENT GRAVITY

Are your rainmakers staying because of you, or despite you?

Your best Level 3 resigned on Friday to a McKinsey Agents practice. Your best lateral last quarter came from Unity Advisory. Your graduate programme is holding, but the best graduates are asking what your AI strategy is in second-round interviews. Rainmakers watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong. The ones who start taking calls have already decided.

02 · CAPABILITY COMPOUNDING

Is the median senior consultant sharper in December than they were in January?

Two populations are forming in every boutique. The top decile is using AI daily and building pattern-recognition that compounds weekly. The rest are practicing not-knowing. Your L&D budget funds compliance modules and a partner offsite. Neither moves the median. The boutiques pulling ahead are making the 90th-percentile workflow the firm's default.

03 · SUCCESSION READINESS

Of your 2030 partner bench, how many came through work that still exists?

Your successor list has six names. At least three came through the Level 1 production work agents have already absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external senior hire in 2030 costs a thirty to forty percent premium and brings the previous firm's playbooks. The apprenticeship architecture gets rebuilt now or gets inherited as a gap.

What the boutiques winning this play are actually doing

Four concrete moves any CHRO can start this quarter. Each addresses one sub-function. Pulled together, they become one answer the firm compounds on.

1

Talent: publish the for-them memo in your first 100 days

Two pages, co-signed by the Managing Partner. What this firm believes consultants are for after AI absorbs the production stack. Which Level 1 tasks are moving to agents this year. Which roles are being redesigned around judgment and agent partnership. What happens to someone whose role changes materially. Referenced every quarter at the partner committee. Without the memo, every deployment is decoded against rumour.

2

L&D: ship one role redesigned as a triad unit this quarter

Senior consultant, analyst, and agent ensemble as one inseparable delivery unit. The analyst does not fetch research for the senior. The analyst operates the agent ensemble in front of the senior on live client work from week one. The senior watches the judgment calls and intervenes at the decision-level moments. Exposure-to-judgment hours go from one hundred fifty a year on the old apprenticeship to fourteen hundred. Apprenticeship compresses fifteen years to seven. Start with one role. Template the next three from the learning.

3

Succession: six rainmakers author their own practice redesigns first

Do not ship a firm-wide redesign to the partner committee. That vote fails because the partners being asked to approve it are the ones whose comp gets compressed. Invert. Assemble six rainmakers — the ones whose books are largest and whose lift-out risk is highest — as the authoring council. Their first assignment: redesign their own practice at the task level in eight weeks. They bring it to the committee as work they authored. The six loudest possible critics become the six loudest possible defenders.

4

Trust: publish three rules, one escalation, one red line

Three rules about what consultants can do with AI on engagement work without asking permission. One rule about what requires review before it leaves the firm. One rule about what never goes to an agent. Published. Defended at the partner offsite when an experiment fails. Your rainmakers watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong. Published rules create real capability. A thousand small no's create the illusion of control and the reality of drift.

The only firm that joins workforce authorship to working infrastructure

The boutique CHRO has four places to go. None can do what we do.

The partnership-economics consultancies (Edge International, Zeughauser Group, RSG Consulting) describe the partnership-pyramid shape your firm has always run on. When the pattern inverts under AI compression, their benchmark becomes a liability.

The Big Four human-capital practices (Deloitte, Mercer, Korn Ferry, Willis Towers Watson) run survey-benchmark models with audit-relationship conflicts. Every firm's answer looks different. A benchmark smooths the differences that actually matter.

The HR-tech vendors (Workday, SuccessFactors, Eightfold, Gloat, Phenom, Personio) sell platform software. The data encoding which partners hold which clients is your apprenticeship architecture in machine-readable form. Building on the vendor stack licenses it back to the vendor.

The L&D platforms and HR academies (LinkedIn Learning, Coursera, Sana Labs, Josh Bersin Academy) sell content libraries. The analyst-to-partner pathway is a pattern-exposure problem, not a content-library problem. A platform that sells modules cannot rebuild the pattern-exposure architecture agents have collapsed.

The market offers four things you have already said no to. GRAIL is the fifth option.

WHAT YOU GET

Senior advisory that speaks partner-committee, not HR-deck

Working infrastructure your team uses the same week

One role redesigned at task level with incumbent in the room

A for-them memo the Managing Partner co-signs in 100 days

No vendor lock-in at any phase of the engagement

WHY IT MATTERS

Founded by operators who have carried people-adjacent seats

Business first, technology second

Three weeks from first meeting to working infrastructure

Your team keeps the infrastructure, you own the IP

Every next step earned by what just shipped, never assumed

Three sessions with your people leadership. Working infrastructure from week one.

We start with your people leadership team because the change cannot outrun the team's personal understanding of what is possible. Three workshops. Each delivers standalone value. Each earns the right to the next.

WORKSHOP 01

How to think

Walk through what is happening in your sector and inside your people function. Draft the first page of your for-them memo in the room, with your Managing Partner present. Your leadership team leaves with a shared view of what the firm believes consultants are for and the first draft of the document the Managing Partner will co-sign.

WORKSHOP 02

Your people infrastructure

A half-day build. Your Head of Talent, Head of L&D, Head of Compensation, and Head of Employee Relations each leave with working infrastructure tuned to their function. Task-level role-mapping agent. Rainmaker-council facilitation architecture. Framework-agreement drafting for the works council. Three-rules trust document. Infrastructure your team is using the same week.

WORKSHOP 03

Ready to run

Refined infrastructure based on real use. The first analyst role redesigned as a triad unit with the incumbent in the room. The published for-them memo, co-signed. The rainmaker-council kickoff agenda. The CFO conversation with numbers the Managing Partner can check: external-hire premium math, cohort-retention forecast, successor-readiness count.

Three weeks. No IT project. No partner vote needed to start. The infrastructure your people function is already running on when we finish.

For your next partner committee

The questions where your people leadership cannot agree on an answer are the ones worth an hour on the agenda. Ask these honestly.

If you asked three of your best senior consultants privately tomorrow whether the firm's AI strategy is being done for them or to them, what would they say? Do you know the names, and can you describe the evidence for what they would say?

Walk me through one role in your firm that has been redesigned at the task level in the last twelve months. Who did the redesign, what did it change, and what does the incumbent do with their week that they did not do before?

Of the six names on your 2030 partner bench, how many came through the Level 1 production work that agents have already absorbed? What is replacing the pathway for the ones whose pathway is gone?

Your workforce strategy is being written this quarter in deployment decisions, budget lines, and framework-agreement openings. How many of those fragments are you the author of, and how many are you decoding after they ship?

Of your rainmakers — the partners whose books you cannot replace — have any of them been asked to author the redesign yet, or are they waiting to be presented with a vote on yours?

If any of these produces a disagreement in your people leadership, that is the conversation worth having before the partner committee meets next.

IN THIS SERIES

There's a version of this briefing for every seat at the table.

GRAIL has produced industry briefings calibrated to the specific reality of each C-suite role.

CEO

Revenue. Margin. Valuation.

Three numbers your board reads. AI is rewriting all three in your industry.

CFO

Capital. Forecast. Story.

What you allocate this year is the story your board reads next year.

COO

Cheaper. Better. Faster.

The triple threat that used to be a tradeoff is now table stakes.

CHRO

▶ YOU ARE READING

Capacity. Capability. Promise.

The biggest talent transition of a career. Compete on capacity, not headcount.

CPTO

Moat. Speed. Allocation.

The platform moat that survives 2028 is being chosen this year.

CCO

Margin. Pace. Position.

The whole GTM playbook is being rewritten in real time, across every commercial team at once.

Visit grail.works for the full series.

GRAIL

An AI-native management consultancy helping European mid-market leadership teams figure out what fundamentally changes about their business as AI enters their industry.

www.grail.works