



AN INDUSTRY BRIEFING FROM GRAIL

# What's Changing in the Specialist Bench You're Building

---

*Your senior specialists are the firm. Your analyst pipeline ran on the work agents now do. Your 2030 bench is being shaped by decisions you were not invited to. A briefing for Chief Human Resources Officers at European mid-market regulatory and compliance advisory firms.*

---

PREPARED BY

Johan Grönstedt · GRAIL

FOR

European mid-market regulatory  
advisory people leaders

DATE

April 2026

## Monday, 9:14 a.m.

---

Every CHRO at a mid-sized European regulatory advisory firm has had the same Monday. Three things in the inbox. Your Head of Talent forwarded Friday's resignation from your best senior associate, the one three CSRD mandates listed by name. She went to a competitor that has been visibly AI-augmenting delivery for the last twelve months. Your CFO attached the Mercer thriving report to Thursday's GMT calendar invite, with a single-line note: "need your read before this lands with the partnership." Your Managing Partner forwarded a question from the audit committee chair: a client asked during a CSRD engagement last week whether the firm applies the same AI governance rigour internally that it is recommending to them. The partner did not have a clean answer.

You have held this seat for four years. The pattern used to be simple. Recruit the senior laterals out of the regulator. Train the analysts well. Keep the works council in the loop. Protect the senior bench. The business was understandable.

Something changed. Your analyst pipeline compressed quietly through 2024 and 2025 because the regulatory monitoring, gap analysis, and documentation work that trained analysts into senior associates is running on agents. Your senior specialists are reading every AI deployment as a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned around them or staffing around them. Your senior associate who resigned on Friday was not the first warning. Your thriving signal dropped at the last engagement survey and nobody reconstructed the practice-level story. Your works council has more evidence of shadow AI use in the firm than sanctioned use. Your firm sells AI governance for a living.

You do not have a people problem. You have an authorship problem. Your firm's workforce strategy is being written every week in decisions you were not invited to. The question is not whether to have one. It is whose handwriting the one you already have is in. Your A-players read it last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2030 senior-specialist bench is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

*This briefing covers what is happening in your sector, what it means for the people function you run, and the three questions your leadership team should answer before the next GMT.*

# Regulatory advisory just got the demand wave it has been waiting for, and the apprenticeship that produced it is breaking

---

For two decades, the economic architecture of a specialist regulatory advisory firm ran on a simple exchange. Senior specialists, hired out of regulators or Big Four practices, carried the enforcement-culture knowledge and the regulator relationships. Analysts and associates did the regulatory monitoring, the gap analysis, the obligation mapping, and the documentation drafting. Over ten to fifteen years, the best analysts absorbed the senior judgment by osmosis and became seniors themselves. The pyramid worked. Junior production was fifty percent of billable revenue and the only reliable pathway to senior judgment.

The CSRD, DORA, and AI Act wave is the largest non-discretionary regulatory expansion of the decade. The demand is real. The firms that staff it proportionally with analysts and associates on a hiring binge build cost structures that look healthy in 2026 and uncompetitive in 2028. The intelligence layer of your work — regulatory monitoring, policy synthesis, gap analysis, obligation mapping, compliance documentation — is now extensively AI-executable. The senior judgment is fully protected and appreciating. AI-native competitors have launched with the explicit pitch that the analyst pyramid is obsolete. Your sophisticated clients have started asking whether you apply the same AI-augmented delivery internally that you recommend to them. The credibility gap is sharpening every quarter.

*Your apprenticeship model ran for two decades on research and documentation work that is no longer yours to give. The question is whether the pathway gets rebuilt before the 2030 senior opening has no internal candidate.*

Two of your top five senior specialists are within five years of retirement. The enforcement-culture knowledge they carry sits in their heads, not in a system. The lateral-from-regulator hire is the rarest asset in the European advisory market: ten to fifteen years to build, eighteen months to integrate, costs a thirty to fifty percent premium when secured, and every competitor in your market is recruiting the same person. Your senior bench is the firm. Your senior bench is also watching which way the firm moves on AI.

# Your people function is feeling it every day

---

**Your talent acquisition.** Your best senior associate resigned on Friday. Your best lateral recruit of the last two quarters came from an AI-augmented competitor who read your firm's hesitation as opportunity. The lateral-from-regulator pipeline has not produced a senior hire in eighteen months. Your graduate offer-accept rate is holding, but the best graduates are asking in second-round interviews what your firm's internal AI strategy is, and "we are thinking about it" is not an answer that wins them. A-players do not quit on the spot. They start looking quietly. By the time retention moves in the dashboard, they have already decided.

**Your L&D.** Your firm's L&D budget is allocated to compliance modules, mandatory programmes, and an annual conference. None of it is designed for continuous in-the-work coaching, agent-paired regulatory practice, or deliberate cohort rotation across regulatory domains. Two populations are forming inside your firm whether you designed it or not. The top decile is using AI daily on real regulator-facing work and building judgment that compounds weekly. The rest is practicing not-knowing. Both groups are becoming who they practice being.

**Your compensation architecture.** Your rate card and partner-track compensation reward billable hours and utilisation. Agents are compressing the hours. Your senior specialists see the math earlier than your committee does. With AI-augmented competitors lifting people out at premium comp, the senior bench is reading every quarter's compensation run for a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned around them or without them. The retention bonus structure last year did not slow the lateral exits. The comp committee that met last month did not see this.

**Your employee relations and works council.** Your works council has not opened the framework-agreement consultation on internal AI deployment yet. Three deployments shipped without HR in the room. Your firm sells regulatory architecture for a living. Your sophisticated clients are starting to notice. The regulatory load on people functions has stacked: AI literacy documentation, high-risk recruiting and performance-management systems, framework agreements, data-minimisation in agentic tools. None of it shows up on the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk. All of it lands on you.

**Your workforce planning and succession.** Your 2030 senior-specialist bench has six names on it. Three of them came through the regulatory monitoring and gap-analysis work that agents have already absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external lateral hire in 2030 will cost a thirty to fifty percent premium, take eighteen months to integrate, and bring the previous firm's regulator-relationship context. On a long enough horizon, the CHRO who cut the analyst pipeline in 2024 is the CHRO the board blames for the 2031 senior opening with no internal candidate.

# The apprenticeship clock was always the architecture

---

Your sector is repricing from below because the analyst production layer is compressing. Your people function is stretched because every deployment decision, every budget line, every framework agreement is a fragment of a workforce strategy that somebody is writing and you are not always in the room when they do. Same force, two altitudes. There is a third thing happening that most regulatory advisory CHROs have not named yet.

Your firm sells regulatory and compliance architecture for a living. Inside the firm, your own workforce architecture is being written every week. The Copilot licence approved in one practice. The analyst headcount line cut in another. The framework agreement opened without HR in the room. Your A-players read the handwriting last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2030 senior-specialist bench is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

Bell Labs produced the transistor in thirty months and information theory in one paper because the junior sat next to the senior on the hardest problem in the building, not in a training cohort down the hall. Juniors watched every judgment call in real time. Chemists sat with physicists sat with mathematicians. Graduation was earned on the work, not by time in seat. The apprenticeship clock was the architecture. That architecture is available to your firm for the first time in two decades, because agents make it affordable to put your analysts next to your senior specialists on live regulator engagements again, instead of on research drafts down the corridor.

*You can tell which camp a firm is in from a single question. Of your successors on the 2030 senior-specialist list, which ones came through work that still exists? Most mid-market regulatory advisory firms cannot answer. The ones that can have already rebuilt the pathway.*

You have twelve to eighteen months to author the answer deliberately, or the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk writes it for you. Same technology. Same workforce. Different outcome depending on whose handwriting the answer is in.

# What most firms are doing. What the winners are doing instead.

Every CHRO has had the AI conversation. Most are making the same four decisions. A smaller group is making different ones.

## THE DEFAULT PATH

### Restrict AI tools for safety and compliance

Sixty-nine percent of employees use AI anyway. A-players read restriction as mistrust and start looking quietly.

### Cut the analyst pipeline for this year's number

Cheaper function in Q1. Empty senior bench by 2031. External lateral hire at a premium the firm cannot absorb.

### Announce firm-wide redesign to the partner committee

Senior specialists whose comp gets compressed have the power to kill it. Vote fails. Lift-out risk rises.

### Ship the annual engagement survey as your main HR deliverable

Your cadence is slower than the CFO's quarterly deck. Cost-reduction writes the workforce answer. You inherit the damage.

## THE WINNERS' PATH

### Publish three rules that defend real trust

Named routing by task sensitivity, not tool. Audit trail writes itself. The firm that sells AI governance can show its own.

### Ship one engagement redesigned as a triad unit

Senior + analyst + agent on live regulator work. Analyst sits inside every judgment call from week one. Apprenticeship compresses twelve years to six.

### Six senior specialists author their own practice redesigns

Eight-week council cadence. They bring it to the committee as work they authored. Lift-out risk converts to ownership equity.

### Publish the for-them memo in first 100 days

Two pages, co-signed by the CEO, referenced every quarter. Every AI deployment gets decoded against it.

*Same workforce. Same twelve months. Opposite outcomes. The difference is whose hand is on the pen.*

## THREE QUESTIONS

# Talent Gravity. Capability Compounding. Succession Readiness.

*Three questions every CHRO is tracking. None of them used to be the same question. They are now.*

### 01 · TALENT GRAVITY

#### **Are your A-players staying because of you, or despite you?**

Your best senior associate resigned on Friday to an AI-augmented competitor. Your best lateral recruit last quarter came from the same place. Your lateral-from-regulator pipeline has not produced a senior hire in eighteen months. A-players watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong. The ones who start looking quietly have already decided.

### 02 · CAPABILITY COMPOUNDING

#### **Is the median analyst sharper in December than they were in January?**

Two populations are forming in every firm. The top decile is using AI daily on real regulator-facing work and building judgment that compounds weekly. The rest is practicing not-knowing. Your L&D budget funds compliance modules and an annual conference. Neither moves the median. The firms pulling ahead are making the 90th-percentile workflow the firm's default workflow.

### 03 · SUCCESSION READINESS

#### **Of your 2030 senior bench, how many came through work that still exists?**

Your successor list has six names. At least three came through the regulatory monitoring and gap-analysis work that agents have already absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external lateral in 2030 costs a thirty to fifty percent premium and brings the previous firm's regulator context. The apprenticeship architecture gets rebuilt now or gets inherited as a gap.

# What the firms winning this play are actually doing

---

Four concrete moves any CHRO can start this quarter. Each addresses one sub-function. Pulled together, they become one answer the firm compounds on.

1

## **Talent: publish the for-them memo in your first 100 days**

Two pages, signed by the CEO. What this firm believes humans are for. Which investments are being made in people becoming more capable. Which roles are being redesigned around regulatory judgment and agent partnership. What happens to someone whose role changes materially. Referenced every quarter. Every AI deployment after gets decoded against this memo. Without it, every deployment is decoded against rumour. Especially load-bearing for the firm whose product is regulatory-architecture authorship.

2

## **L&D: ship one engagement redesigned as a triad unit this quarter**

Senior specialist, analyst, and agent ensemble as one inseparable engagement unit. The analyst does not fetch research for the senior. The analyst operates the agent ensemble in front of the senior on live regulator-facing work from week one. The senior watches the judgment calls in real time and intervenes at the decision-level moments. Your exposure-to-judgment hours for that analyst go from a hundred-and-fifty a year on the old apprenticeship to twelve hundred on this one. The clock compresses from twelve years to six. Start with one engagement. Template the next three.

3

## **Succession: six senior specialists author their own practice redesigns first**

Do not ship a firm-wide redesign to the partner committee. That vote fails because the people being asked to approve it are the ones whose comp gets compressed. Invert. Assemble six senior specialists — the ones whose books are largest and whose lift-out risk is highest — as the authoring council. Their first assignment: redesign their own practice at the task level in eight weeks. They bring it to the committee as work they authored. The six loudest possible critics become the six loudest possible defenders.

4

## **Trust: publish three rules, one escalation, one red line**

Three rules about what the workforce can do with AI without asking permission. One rule about what requires senior review before it leaves the firm. One rule about what never goes to an agent — the regulator phone call, the enforcement-culture reading, the novel-interpretation judgment. Published. Defended to the board when an experiment fails. The firm whose product is regulatory-architecture authorship can show its own. A-players watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong.

# The only firm that joins workforce authorship to working infrastructure

The regulatory advisory CHRO has four places to go. None can do what we do.

**The Big Four human-capital practices** (Deloitte, Mercer, Korn Ferry, Willis Towers Watson) run survey-benchmark models with audit-relationship conflicts. The Big Four regulatory practice is your firm's competitor on the engagement book.

**The partnership-economics consultancies** (Edge International, Zeughauser Group, Peer Monitor) describe the partnership-pyramid your firm has run on. When the analyst layer compresses, the benchmark becomes a liability.

**The HR-tech vendors** (Workday, SuccessFactors, Eightfold, Gloat, Phenom, Personio) sell platform software. The data encoding which senior specialists hold which regulator relationships IS your apprenticeship architecture in machine-readable form. Building on the vendor stack licenses it back to the vendor.

**The L&D platforms and HR academies** (LinkedIn Learning, Coursera, Sana Labs, Josh Bersin Academy) sell content libraries. The senior-specialist pathway is a pattern-exposure problem, not a content-library problem. A platform that sells modules cannot rebuild the regulator-relationship and enforcement-judgment exposure agents have collapsed.

*The market offers four things you have already said no to. GRAIL is the fifth option.*

## WHAT YOU GET

Senior advisory that speaks GMT, not HR-deck

Working infrastructure your team uses the same week

One engagement redesigned at task level with the senior in the room

A for-them memo the CEO co-signs in your first 100 days

No vendor lock-in at any phase of the engagement

## WHY IT MATTERS

Founded by operators who have carried people-adjacent seats

Business first, technology second

Three weeks from first meeting to working infrastructure

Your team keeps the infrastructure, you own the IP

Every next step earned by what just shipped, never assumed

# Three sessions with your people leadership. Working infrastructure from week one.

*We start with your people leadership team because the change cannot outrun the team's personal understanding of what is possible. Three workshops. Each delivers standalone value. Each earns the right to the next.*

## WORKSHOP 01

### How to think

Walk through what is happening in your sector and inside your people function. Draft the first page of your for-them memo in the room, with your CEO present. Your leadership team leaves with a shared view of what the firm believes humans are for and the first draft of the document the CEO will co-sign.

## WORKSHOP 02

### Your people infrastructure

A half-day build. Your Head of Talent, Head of L&D, Head of Compensation, and Head of Employee Relations each leave with working infrastructure tuned to their function. Task-level role-mapping agent. Senior-specialist council facilitation architecture. Framework-agreement drafting for the works council. Three-rules trust document. Infrastructure your team is using the same week.

## WORKSHOP 03

### Ready to run

Refined infrastructure based on real use. The first engagement redesigned as a triad unit with the senior specialist in the room. The published for-them memo, co-signed. The senior-specialist council kickoff agenda. The CFO conversation with numbers the Managing Partner can check: lateral-hire premium math, cohort-retention forecast, successor-readiness count.

*Three weeks. No IT project. No partner vote needed to start. The infrastructure your people function is already running on when we finish.*

## For your next GMT

---

The questions where your people leadership cannot agree on an answer are the ones worth an hour on the agenda. Ask these honestly.

*If you asked three of your best people privately tomorrow whether the firm's AI strategy is being done for them or to them, what would they say? Do you know the names, and can you describe the evidence for what they would say?*

*Walk me through one engagement in your firm that has been redesigned at the task level in the last twelve months. Who did the redesign, what did it change, and what does the senior specialist do with their week now that they did not do before?*

*Of the six names on your 2030 senior-specialist bench, how many came through the regulatory monitoring and gap-analysis work that agents have already absorbed? What is replacing the pathway for the ones whose pathway is gone?*

*Your firm sells regulatory and compliance architecture for a living. When a sophisticated client asks during a CSRD engagement whether you apply the same AI governance rigour internally, what is the clean answer the partner will give?*

*Of your senior specialists — the ones whose regulator relationships and enforcement-culture knowledge are the firm's most defensible asset — have any of them been asked to author the redesign yet, or are they waiting to be presented with a vote on yours?*

*If any of these produces a disagreement in your people leadership, that is the conversation worth having before the partner committee.*

IN THIS SERIES

# There's a version of this briefing for every seat at the table.

GRAIL has produced industry briefings calibrated to the specific reality of each C-suite role.

## CEO

**Revenue. Margin. Valuation.**

Three numbers your board reads. AI is rewriting all three in your industry.

## CFO

**Capital. Forecast. Story.**

What you allocate this year is the story your board reads next year.

## COO

**Cheaper. Better. Faster.**

The triple threat that used to be a tradeoff is now table stakes.

## CHRO

▶ YOU ARE READING

**Capacity. Capability. Promise.**

The biggest talent transition of a career. Compete on capacity, not headcount.

## CPTO

**Moat. Speed. Allocation.**

The platform moat that survives 2028 is being chosen this year.

## CCO

**Margin. Pace. Position.**

The whole GTM playbook is being rewritten in real time, across every commercial team at once.

Visit [grail.works](https://grail.works) for the full series.

# GRAIL

An AI-native management consultancy helping European mid-market leadership teams figure out what fundamentally changes about their business as AI enters their industry.

[www.grail.works](https://www.grail.works)