

The Wave Your Competitors Already See

Regulatory Advisory

You have seen this in
your pitch reviews

*“Your sophisticated clients
are starting to ask why your
advisory delivery process
has not visibly changed. They
are advising their own boards
on AI Act readiness. They
notice when their advisor
shows up with A Word
document and a junior analyst.”*

01 Why are we losing
CSRD and AI Act bids
we should be winning?

02 What happens when
the client asks about
our own AI practice?

03 What happens when
our senior regulatory
specialists retire?



You have seen this in your pitch reviews

Every commercial director at a Nordic regulatory advisory firm has had the same Monday morning. Three pitches closed last week. One retainer renewed at a flat fee despite eighteen months of regulatory expansion inside the client. One CSRD mandate lost to a boutique you do not respect that put "we use AI internally" into their proposal narrative. One DORA gap assessment won but scoped too tight because your senior team is already stretched. Your best regulatory specialist mentioned retirement again on Friday. Your managing partner asked you for the CSRD capacity plan for H2.

You have done this job for fifteen years. The pattern used to be simple. Hire senior advisors with the right specialist backgrounds. Build them into practice leaders. Let the regulatory cycle do the rest. The best advisors stood out in three years. You built the firm around them. The retainer book compounded. The business was understandable.

Something changed. Your CSRD pipeline is bigger than your team can serve. Your AI Act mandates are going to firms that visibly use AI internally and can demonstrate it in a pitch meeting. Sophisticated clients are asking why your advisory delivery process looks the same as five years ago. Your senior specialists are five years from retirement and there is no plan for what happens to the regulatory knowledge in their heads. And your managing partner is asking why mandate capture on the biggest regulatory wave in a decade is not where you thought it would be.

It is not a you problem. It is an industry problem and a function problem, happening at the same time. Most commercial directors are treating them as two. The ones who see them as one are going to capture disproportionate share of a non-repeatable regulatory wave.

This briefing covers what is happening in regulatory advisory as a sector, what it means for your pitch team and your senior specialists, and the three questions your leadership team should answer before the next partner meeting.

Regulatory advisory is on the biggest wave in a decade. The cost structure is about to split.

CSRD is rolling out across the Nordics. DORA went live for financial services in January 2025. The EU AI Act reaches full high-risk enforcement in August 2026. Demand for regulatory advisory work is growing at the fastest rate regulatory advisory has ever seen. Norway alone has roughly twelve hundred companies inside CSRD scope. Every one of them is a mandate waiting to be bid. Every Nordic advisory firm is looking at a pipeline bigger than anything the last decade produced.

Here is the split happening underneath the growth. Two firms of equal size look at the same pipeline. The first firm staffs for the wave. More senior hires, more juniors, more office space, more capacity the old way. The second firm AI-augments its regulatory monitoring, gap analysis, obligation mapping, and documentation production. Its senior specialists serve three times the mandates at the same headcount. Two years from now the first firm has a competitive cost structure against competitors who did the same thing. The second firm has a cost structure the first firm cannot match, a margin it cannot defend, and an internal capability story its pitches can prove. The wave funded both strategies. One compounds. The other plateaus.

Your sophisticated clients are starting to ask why your advisory delivery process has not visibly changed. They are advising their own boards on AI Act readiness. They notice when their advisor shows up with a Word document and a junior analyst.

The credibility paradox is the other half of the story. Your firm advises clients on AI governance, AI Act readiness, and responsible-AI frameworks. A sophisticated client in the middle of an AI Act engagement asks you what your own firm's AI practice looks like. If the answer is thin, the credibility gap is immediate. The firm that is visibly ahead of its clients on AI adoption is more credible as a regulatory advisor, not less. Two of your next three AI Act pitches will include a variation of this question. The firms that have an answer will win them.

Your pitch team, your marketing, and your senior specialists are feeling it every day

Your pitches. Your senior advisors walk into pitch meetings where the client has already asked ChatGPT what CSRD implementation should cost and what best-practice methodology looks like. The ones who prepared with real specificity arrive with the client eighty percent leaning in. The ones who walked in with last year's credentials deck are starting from forty. Win rate on the first group is roughly double the second. The ones who prepared also hold fees. The discount conversation on competitive pitches is lost in the first meeting, not in the final negotiation.

Your marketing and authority. The authority-building playbook changed in a single year. Organic search to your white papers is falling even on the pages that used to rank. Clients research CSRD and AI Act in answer engines and peer forums before they call an advisor. If your firm does not show up in those answers, you are not in consideration. Your marketing lead volume is degrading in quality and the answer is not more leads. The leading firms are shifting marketing from white-paper factory to authority engine. Original regulatory research the answer engines cite. Senior specialists publishing in trade press. Client case stories with specific regulatory outcomes. Marketing's job is moving from filling the top of the funnel to making the firm the preferred pick before the RFP is issued.

Your rev ops and proposal team. The function that used to run the proposal library and utilisation dashboards is becoming the architecture of your commercial engine. The tools every senior advisor uses. The data flowing between marketing, pitch, and delivery. The captures of what your senior specialists know. The metrics that prove the transformation to the CFO. All of it runs through rev ops. Most commercial directors still treat rev ops as proposal production and reporting. The firms pulling ahead are repositioning the rev ops leader as the architect who ties marketing, pitch, delivery, and knowledge capture into one system.

Your senior specialists. Three to five people in your firm carry the regulatory-enforcement-culture knowledge, the regulator-relationship history, the novel-interpretation intuition, the where-the-bodies-are-buried on past engagements. Two of them are within five years of retirement. The knowledge has never been transferable by training programme or playbook. When they retire, the knowledge leaves, and the firm's capacity to bid credibly on novel regulatory work drops six to twelve months later, often before you catch it in the metrics. You know which specialists I mean. The conversations are already on your calendar.

The winners are treating the wave as the harvest season, not the opportunity

Your industry is splitting because AI changes the delivery cost structure of regulatory advisory. Your function is splitting because your senior advisors are bidding against AI-using competitors while your senior specialists are five years from retiring. Same force, two altitudes. But there is a third thing happening that most commercial directors have not named yet, and it is the one that matters most.

Look at the firms pulling ahead. They are not just winning more mandates on the wave. They are using the wave. Every CSRD engagement becomes training data for the next one. Every regulator correspondence becomes part of a captured intelligence asset every advisor can use. Every senior-specialist interpretation on a live mandate becomes the foundation of the firm's institutional capability after that specialist retires. The wave is the only window in which your senior specialists are actively engaged on the regulatory work that produces this material. Miss the wave and you miss the capture.

Most commercial directors are still treating the wave as revenue. A CSRD practice plan. A DORA hiring plan. An AI Act thought-leadership push. Twelve months later the firm has higher revenue, same cost structure, and the senior specialists are a year closer to retirement. The growth happened. The moat did not.

Your competitors who get this will bid CSRD mandates with three years of captured regulatory intelligence behind every proposal. Their senior advisors will walk in with specificity yours cannot match. Their firm will have encoded what their retiring specialists know. Three things compounding into one. This is what the next twenty-four months look like if you do not move.

You have eighteen to twenty-four months. The AI adoption debate is over. Your question now is whether you lead the capture yourself, or the managing partner asks a Big 4 consultancy to design it.

What most firms are doing. What the winners are doing instead.

Every commercial director at a regulatory advisory firm has had the AI conversation. Most are making the same four decisions. A smaller group is making different ones.

THE DEFAULT PATH

Staff the wave the old way

More senior hires, more juniors, more office space. Capacity matches demand the way it always has.

Advise clients on AI governance, barely use AI internally

The credibility gap shows up in pitch meetings. Sophisticated clients are already noticing.

Push marketing for more white paper volume

More research pieces, more events, more downloads. Lead quality degrades as buyers research in AI first.

Wait for senior specialists to retire, hope the handover works

The firm's ability to bid credibly on novel regulatory work degrades twelve months later.

THE WINNERS' PATH

AI-augment delivery and serve three times the mandates

Same senior team. Research and documentation compressed. Share capture during a wave that plateaus in 2028.

Build a visible internal AI practice that backs the pitch

Your firm becomes the walking demonstration. AI Act mandates close at a pricing premium.

Build regulatory authority where buyers actually research

Original research the answer engines cite. Senior specialists in trade press. Preferred pick before the RFP goes out.

Capture what they know now, during the wave

Live CSRD and AI Act engagements become the training data. Retirement becomes a low-impact event.

Same wave. Same twenty-four months. Opposite outcomes. The difference is the operator, not the AI.

Mandates. Credibility. Specialists.

Three questions every commercial director at a regulatory advisory firm is tracking. None of them used to be the same question. They are now.

01 · MANDATES

Why are we losing CSRD and AI Act bids we should be winning?

The mandate went to a boutique you do not respect. They did not price you out. They put a visible AI-internal-use narrative into their proposal and it landed. Their senior advisor walked in knowing the client's specific reporting burden with a specificity your team had to work three weeks to match. This is happening on more pitches each quarter and the trend is in one direction.

02 · CREDIBILITY

What happens when the client asks about our own AI practice?

A sophisticated client two years into an AI Act engagement asks what your firm's internal AI governance looks like. If the answer is thin, the credibility gap is immediate. The firm advising on AI governance while barely using AI internally is the fastest-closing credibility problem in advisory. Two of your next three AI Act pitches will include a variation of this question. Your answer is the differentiator.

03 · SPECIALISTS

What happens when our senior regulatory specialists retire?

Three to five people in your firm carry the enforcement-culture knowledge and the regulator-relationship history. Two are within five years of retirement. Their knowledge has never been transferable through training programmes. When they retire, the firm's capacity to bid credibly on novel regulatory work drops twelve months later. There has never been a way to capture what they know. There is now.

What the firms winning this play are actually doing

Four concrete moves any commercial director can start this quarter. Each touches one part of the commercial function. Pulled together, they turn a regulatory wave into a captured advantage.

1 **Pitches: get your senior advisors into the meeting with real specificity, prepared in minutes**

The senior advisor who walks into a CSRD pitch knowing the client's past disclosures, sector obligations, peer-firm compliance posture, and regulator correspondence closes at roughly sixty-five percent. The one who walks in with last year's credentials deck closes at twenty-five. Four to six hours of proposal prep compresses to fifteen minutes once your team is set up. Your best senior advisors already do this in their heads. The rest need the tool.

2 **Marketing and authority: make your internal AI practice visible where clients actually research**

Your white-paper funnel is degrading because the client is researching in AI. The answer is authority, not volume. Original regulatory research the answer engines cite. Senior specialists publishing in trade press on specific enforcement cases. Client stories with specific regulatory outcomes. A visible firm narrative about how your own team uses AI internally. Your firm becomes the preferred pick before the RFP goes out.

3 **Rev ops and delivery: move from proposal production to commercial architecture**

The rev ops function that used to run the proposal library and utilisation dashboards is the new centre of gravity for your commercial engine. In the leading firms, rev ops owns the tools every senior advisor uses, the data flow between marketing and pitch, the capture of what your senior specialists know on live engagements, and the metrics that prove the transformation to the CFO. Without it, the other three moves stay siloed.

4 **Knowledge: capture what your senior specialists know during this wave, before they retire**

Every senior regulatory specialist carries twenty years of enforcement-culture intuition, past-case memory, and novel-interpretation judgment in their head. The CSRD, DORA, and AI Act engagements they are running right now are the harvest window. Call summaries, methodology variations, regulator correspondence, gap-assessment rationales. An agent layer captures and structures it during the live work. When the retirement conversation comes, the knowledge stays.

The only firm that joins the pitch-room conversation to the working tools

The commercial director at a regulatory advisory firm has four places to go for help. None can do what we do.

RegTech platforms sell compliance technology to the client's internal GRC team. They do not sit with you on the pitch strategy for your next CSRD bid, and they do not build the tool that puts your senior specialist's knowledge in every advisor's hands. They sell seat licences.

The Big 4 regulatory practices will write you a two-million-euro readiness deck. They cannot put a working obligation-mapping tool in your senior advisor's hands in three weeks because their cost structure requires billing analyst hours. You get their deck or their tool. Not both.

RegTech integrators want to run an eighteen-month implementation that installs a platform the vendor owns. Their business depends on keeping you dependent on the platform. That is not your business.

Your in-house IT or data team will get to it in twelve to eighteen months. The budget sits with the practice heads. IT is underfunded. The advisors who would use the tool are never in the room when it is designed.

The market offers four things you have already said no to. GRAIL is the fifth option.

WHAT YOU GET

Senior advisory that speaks commercial, not compliance-tech

Working tools your advisors use the same week

Custom agents built on your mandates, your methodology

The start of a knowledge capture from live engagements

No vendor lock-in at any phase of the engagement

WHY IT MATTERS

Founded by operators who have sold and led commercial teams

Business first, technology second

Three weeks from first meeting to working tools

Your advisors keep the tools, you own the IP

Every next step earned by what just shipped

Three sessions with your commercial leadership. Working tools from week one.

We start with your commercial leadership team because the change cannot outrun the team's personal understanding of what is possible. Three workshops. Each delivers standalone value. Each earns the right to the next.

WORKSHOP 01

How to think

Walk through what is happening in regulatory advisory and in your commercial function. Build the first working tool in the room: a pre-pitch intelligence agent for one of your senior advisors, on one of your real CSRD or AI Act targets. Your leadership team leaves with a shared view of what to do next and the first working tool already in their hands.

WORKSHOP 02

Your team's tools

A half-day activation. Each member of your commercial leadership team leaves with five to ten working tools tuned to their mandates, their clients, their proposals. Pre-pitch intelligence. Live mandate capture. Proposal first-draft. Regulator-correspondence tracking. Tools your advisors are using the same week, not slides about tools.

WORKSHOP 03

Ready to roll

Refined tools based on real use. The rollout plan to your wider senior advisor group. The knowledge-capture plan for your senior specialists during live CSRD and AI Act engagements. The pricing argument for your managing partner and CFO, with numbers they can check, not a deck they cannot argue with.

Three weeks. No IT project. No board approval needed to start. The tools your team is already using when we finish.

For your next leadership team meeting

The questions where your leadership team cannot agree on an answer are the ones worth an hour on the agenda.

Of the last ten competitive CSRD or AI Act pitches you lost, how many went to firms that showed up with a visible internal AI practice, not a better price? If you do not know, how would you find out?

How many CSRD mandates can your current senior team serve next year? With AI-augmented delivery? What is the gap, and is the Nordic market addressable in the difference?

If your top three senior specialists retired next year, what would happen to your capacity to bid credibly on novel regulatory work? What have you actually captured from them in the last twelve months?

When a sophisticated AI Act client asks what your firm's internal AI governance looks like, what is your answer today? What would you want it to be twelve months from now?

Which of your senior advisors is already using AI the way the rest of your team will need to by 2027 to keep winning? What would it take for that behaviour to be the default across the team?

If any of these produces a disagreement in your leadership team, that is the conversation worth having before the board asks.

IN THIS SERIES

There's a version of this briefing for every seat at the table.

GRAIL has produced industry briefings calibrated to the specific reality of each C-suite role.

CEO

Revenue. Margin. Valuation.

Three numbers your board reads. AI is rewriting all three in your industry.

CFO

Capital. Forecast. Story.

What you allocate this year is the story your board reads next year.

COO

Cheaper. Better. Faster.

The triple threat that used to be a tradeoff is now table stakes.

CHRO

Capacity. Capability. Promise.

The biggest talent transition of a career. Compete on capacity, not headcount.

CPTO

Moat. Speed. Allocation.

The platform moat that survives 2028 is being chosen this year.

CCO

► YOU ARE READING

Margin. Pace. Position.

The whole GTM playbook is being rewritten in real time, across every commercial team at once.

Visit grail.works for the full series.

GRAIL

An AI-native management consultancy helping European mid-market leadership teams figure out what fundamentally changes about their business as AI enters their industry.

www.grail.works