

The leverage model your firm runs on is being rewritten

Professional Services

You have seen this at the partner meeting

“The partner who walks into the pitch with the cross-practice angle already drafted, the margin history benchmarked, and the client’s three-year procurement pattern in hand holds pricing. The partner who walks in cold gives ground.”

01

Why are our partners originating less? →

02

Can our ratio hold as juniors do less billable work? →

03

Why does cross-practice expansion keep slipping through? →



You have seen this at the partner meeting

Every commercial partner at a mid-market multi-practice firm in Europe has had the same Monday. Partner review last Friday. Five partners originated nothing last quarter. The MD named three of them. Two are between origination and retirement. One is two years from equity. Your rainmaker, the partner carrying 30% of originated revenue, mentioned an AI-native due-diligence shop that pitched her biggest audit client at 40% below your rate. The client asked her whether your firm had a response.

You have done this job for twenty years. The pattern used to be simple. Bring partners on. Give them the platform. The best ones build a book in five years. The firm grows at the leverage ratio you set. Associates come up through the work. Equity expands because origination expands. The partnership economics worked.

Something changed. Your juniors are doing less work that clients pay for. Your pitches take longer than the client's AI-drafted RFP. Your discounts are bigger than they were three years ago on comparable mandates. Your cross-practice expansion signals get lost between practice heads. Your best rainmakers are five years from retirement and no one has shadowed their book. And your MD is asking what a firm-wide AI response looks like before the next partner offsite.

This is not a you problem. It is an industry problem and a firm problem, happening at the same time. Most commercial partners are treating them as two. The ones who see them as one will own the next decade.

This briefing covers what is happening in your industry, what it means for your BD committee and your origination floor, and the three questions your leadership team should answer before the next partner meeting.

Professional services just lost the economics that ran it for fifty years

Every partnership you know runs on the same arithmetic. The partner brings the mandate. The associates do the production hours. The client pays blended rates that bury the margin. The partner's compensation comes out of the spread. Audit, advisory, tax, legal, accounting. Four different crafts, one economic model. For fifty years the model worked because associate hours were the expensive part of every engagement and clients paid for them.

AI changed the expensive part. Research, analysis, drafting, compliance checks, first-draft document production, data assembly, first-pass reporting. Between 50 and 70 percent of the hours your firm billed last year. That work can now be done in a fraction of the time. Clients are asking why they are paying associate rates for it. Your procurement counterparts at the client side are running their own AI across your proposals before your partner walks into the pitch. Firms that stayed on time-based pricing grew 2.1% last year. Firms that moved to outcome-based grew 8.7%. The numbers are not subtle.

The partner who walks into the pitch with the cross-practice angle already drafted, the margin history benchmarked, and the client's three-year procurement pattern in hand holds pricing. The partner who walks in cold gives ground.

The competitors you have watched for a decade are not what you are now up against. Unity Advisory raised \$300 million from Warburg Pincus on the line "AI born not retrofitted, no pyramid." DiligenceSquared is doing due diligence at 90% cost reduction. Your Big Four counterparts have committed serious capital to internal AI programmes and are already pitching mandates you used to win. At the top end, the elite firms are moving to fixed-fee and value-based pricing faster than your firm is. At the bottom end, AI-native entrants are pricing mandates at a fraction of your rate. The middle, where most mid-market multi-practice firms sit, is the squeeze point.

Your BD committee, your origination floor, and your practice heads are feeling it weekly

Your pitches. Your BD partner walks into specification meetings where the client has already briefed an AI and read three peer-firm proposals. The partner who arrives with the cross-practice angle, client procurement history, and margin benchmarks in hand sits at 60% win rate. The partner who walks in cold sits at 25%. The ones who prepared also hold fees. The discount conversation is lost in the pitch, not in the negotiation at close.

Your cross-practice expansion. 80% of your profitable growth comes from existing clients expanding into adjacent practices. Your audit partner sees the regulatory finding that signals advisory work. Your advisory partner sees the restructuring that signals tax work. Today these signals move at the speed of partner lunches. The audit partner remembers to mention it at the BD committee six weeks later. The advisory partner hears it through a corridor conversation. Half the expansions never happen. Your cross-sell rate sits at 35-40% when the best firms are moving to 60-70%. The signal is there. The graph that connects it is not.

Your leverage ratio. The 6:1 associate-to-partner ratio that funds your partner compensation is under direct pressure. When the production layer compresses, the ratio does not hold. Defending the old number is defending the wrong thing. The firms pulling ahead are designing a new ratio. Fewer associates. Agent infrastructure carrying the compressible substrate. Partner capacity redirected toward origination and differentiation. Your BD committee has not had this conversation yet. Your MD knows the conversation is coming.

Your rainmakers. Your top three partners originate 30-40% of firm revenue. They built their books on 20 years of client politics, industry pattern recognition, and the judgment that tells them which pitch to take. Two are over 55. When they retire, the book decays 12-18 months later, by which time you cannot catch it. No one has shadowed their relationships. No one has written down what they know. The retirement conversations are on your calendar. You know the partners we mean.

The winners are already running one firm-wide client graph

Your industry is compressing because AI takes hours out of delivery. Your function is compressing because your partners walk into pitches outgunned and cross-practice signals get lost between practice heads. Same force, two altitudes. But there is a third thing happening that most commercial partners have not named yet, and it is the one that matters most.

Look at the firms pulling ahead. Audit, advisory, tax, and legal are no longer four separate books of business held by four separate committees. Every client interaction feeds one structured view of what the firm knows about that client across every practice. The audit finding flags the advisory lead. The advisory engagement flags the tax opportunity. The rainmaker's twenty years of judgment becomes queryable by every partner, not just held in their head. Pitches draw from the same graph. RFP responses draw from the same graph. Partner retirements stop being a single point of failure.

Most firms are still running four practice silos with four AI pilots. A copilot for audit. A research agent for advisory. A research tool for tax. A contract-review tool for legal. Twelve months later nothing compounds because the four pilots never met. The expansion signals still get lost. The rainmaker's book is still in the rainmaker's head.

Your competitors who build the firm-wide client graph first will close mandates in four weeks that used to take twelve. Their partners arrive at pitches with everything yours does not. Their cross-practice expansion compounds against yours. Their rainmaker knowledge becomes firm infrastructure. This is what the next eighteen months look like if you do not move.

You have eighteen to twenty-four months. The AI adoption debate is over. Your question now is whether you lead this inside the partnership, or the MD brings in someone who will.

What most firms are doing. What the winners are doing instead.

Every BD committee has had the AI conversation. Most have made the same four decisions. A smaller group has made different ones.

THE DEFAULT PATH

Run four practice AI pilots that never connect

Separate copilot per practice. Cross-practice signals still get lost.

Buy a research copilot and declare AI done

Every peer firm has the same tool in six months. The copilot is the commodity.

Defend the old leverage ratio by hiring more associates

Work compresses. Utilisation falls. Partner compensation follows.

Wait for your rainmakers to retire, hope the handover works

The book decays twelve to eighteen months later.

THE WINNERS' PATH

Build one firm-wide client graph across every practice

Audit finding flags advisory lead. Cross-practice cross-sell rate doubles.

Build the tools your partners actually use

Tuned to your practices, your clients, your pitch history. Yours to keep, compounding monthly.

Redesign the ratio around agent infrastructure

Fewer associates, richer apprenticeship. Partner capacity moves to origination.

Capture what they know now, while they are still here

The knowledge becomes firm infrastructure. Retirement becomes a non-event.

Same technology. Same twelve months. Opposite outcomes. The difference is the operator, not the AI.

Pipeline. Leverage. Expansion.

Three questions every BD partner is tracking. None of them used to be the same question. They are now.

01 · PIPELINE

Why are our partners originating less?

Originated revenue per partner is sliding. Five partners originated nothing last quarter. The pitch went to a firm you do not respect. They did not price you out. They showed up better prepared. They were in the client's conversation earlier. Your partners are not losing the talent to originate. They are losing the preparation race.

02 · LEVERAGE

Can our ratio hold as juniors do less billable work?

Half the associate hours you billed last year were on work clients are now asking why they pay associate rates for. Utilisation is falling. The old six-to-one ratio that funds partner compensation is under direct pressure. Defending the old number is defending the wrong thing. The firms pulling ahead are redesigning the ratio around agent infrastructure.

03 · EXPANSION

Why does cross-practice expansion keep slipping through?

Your audit partner sees the advisory lead. Your advisory partner sees the tax opportunity. Today those signals move at the speed of partner lunches. Your cross-sell rate sits at 35-40%. Best firms move to 60-70%. The signal is there. The graph that connects it is not. This is the biggest untapped lever in your firm.

What the firms winning this play are actually doing

Four moves any commercial partner can start this quarter. Each touches one part of the firm. Together they redesign how the partnership commercialises.

1

Origination: get your BD partners into the pitch earlier, and better prepared

The partner who walks into a pitch with the client's three-year procurement history, margin benchmarks against peer firms, the cross-practice angle drafted, and comparable mandates on hand closes at 60%. The partner walking in cold closes at 25%. They also hold fees. Four hours of partner prep compresses to twenty minutes once the tools are in place. Your best originators do this mentally today. The rest needs the tool.

2

Expansion: build the firm-wide client graph

Every pitch, engagement memo, regulatory finding, relationship note, and tender response from every practice becomes structured data in one firm view. The audit finding reaches the advisory BD partner within 48 hours with supporting context, not at the BD lunch six weeks later. Cross-practice cross-sell moves from 35% toward 65%. This is the 18-month moat every peer firm is leaving on the cutting-room floor today.

3

Leverage: redesign the ratio around agent infrastructure

The six-to-one associate ratio is not the thing to defend. The thing to design is the new ratio: fewer associates per partner, agent infrastructure carrying the compressible production, richer case exposure per associate hour. Apprenticeship gets faster because juniors spend their time on pattern recognition, not document drafting. Partner capacity moves to origination and differentiation. The MD conversation is about the next ratio, not the old one.

4

Succession: capture what your rainmakers know before they retire

Every rainmaker carries twenty years of client judgment in their head. Which pitch to take. Which partner owns the relationship. Which competitor lost on what. It has always been biographical. AI now extracts that judgment from call notes, engagement memos, pitch archives, and correspondence into a form every partner queries. When the retirement lands, the book stays. Longest lead time of the four moves. Start now.

The only firm that speaks partnership and builds the tools

The commercial partner in 2026 has four places to go. None can do what we do.

The vertical AI platforms (Thomson Reuters, Bloomberg, Westlaw) sell inside one practice line. They cannot build the cross-practice client graph because their unit economics require single-practice lock-in. Your audit data sits in one vendor, your advisory data in another. The graph never forms.

The big consulting firms will write you a two-million-euro strategy deck. They cannot put working tools in your partners' hands in three weeks because their cost structure requires billing analyst hours. You can have their deck or their tool, not both.

Salesforce and practice-management vendor AI layers want an eighteen-month implementation that installs AI inside their platform. Their business needs your firm dependent on that platform as system of record. Your IP sits in their cloud.

Your internal AI champions programme has a designated partner, a small budget, and no firm-wide mandate. Every firm tried this in 2024. No single practice partner can redesign a firm-wide economic model without MD authority.

The market offers four things you have already said no to. GRAIL is the fifth option.

WHAT YOU GET

Senior advisory that speaks partnership, not strategy-deck

Working tools your partners use the same week

Custom agents built on your practices, your pitch history

The firm-wide client graph as your proprietary asset

No vendor lock-in at any phase of the engagement

WHY IT MATTERS

Founded by operators who have built and run professional firms

Business first, technology second

Three weeks from first meeting to working tools

Your partners keep the tools, you own the IP

Every next step earned by what just shipped, never assumed

Three sessions with your commercial leadership. Working tools from week one.

We start with your BD committee and practice heads because the change cannot outrun the leadership team's personal understanding of what is possible. Three workshops. Each delivers standalone value. Each earns the right to the next.

WORKSHOP 01

How to think

Walk through what is happening in your industry and in your BD committee. Build the first working tool in the room: a pitch-prep agent for one of your partners, on one of their real clients, for one of their real pitches. The leadership team leaves with a shared view of what to do next and the first working tool already in their hands.

WORKSHOP 02

Your team's tools

A half-day activation. Each member of your commercial leadership leaves with five to ten working tools tuned to their practice, their clients, their pitch history. Pitch preparation. Cross-practice expansion signal detection. RFP response drafting. Partner-meeting briefing. Tools your partners are using the same week, not slides about tools.

WORKSHOP 03

Ready to roll

Refined tools based on real use. The rollout plan to your wider partnership. The rainmaker knowledge-capture plan. The firm-wide client graph design. The MD conversation with numbers they can check, not a deck they cannot argue with.

Three weeks. No IT project. No board approval needed to start. The tools your partners are already using when we finish.

For your next partner meeting

The questions where your leadership cannot agree are the ones worth an hour on the agenda. Ask these honestly.

Of the last ten pitches you lost, how many went to firms that showed up better prepared, not better priced? If you do not know, how would you find out?

What is your cross-practice cross-sell rate, and how does it compare to two years ago? Which partners cross-sell the most, and what do they do that the others do not?

If your top three rainmakers retired next year, what would happen to the books they own? What have you actually transferred to the rest of the partnership in the last twelve months?

Where is your average associate spending their Monday morning today, and where would they need to spend it for your leverage ratio to work in 2027?

Which of your partners is already doing what the rest of the BD committee will need to do by 2027 to keep originating? What would it take for that to be the default?

If any of these produces a disagreement in your leadership, that is the conversation worth having before the MD asks.

IN THIS SERIES

There's a version of this briefing for every seat at the table.

GRAIL has produced industry briefings calibrated to the specific reality of each C-suite role.

CEO

Revenue. Margin. Valuation.

Three numbers your board reads. AI is rewriting all three in your industry.

CFO

Capital. Forecast. Story.

What you allocate this year is the story your board reads next year.

COO

Cheaper. Better. Faster.

The triple threat that used to be a tradeoff is now table stakes.

CHRO

Capacity. Capability. Promise.

The biggest talent transition of a career. Compete on capacity, not headcount.

CPTO

Moat. Speed. Allocation.

The platform moat that survives 2028 is being chosen this year.

CCO

► YOU ARE READING

Margin. Pace. Position.

The whole GTM playbook is being rewritten in real time, across every commercial team at once.

Visit grail.works for the full series.

GRAIL

An AI-native management consultancy helping European mid-market leadership teams figure out what fundamentally changes about their business as AI enters their industry.

www.grail.works