

What's Changing in the Lawyer You Can Still Train

Your A-players are watching. Your training contract has lost three of its seven years. Your 2032 partner cohort is being decided right now. A briefing for Chief Human Resources Officers at mid-market European law firms and compliance advisories.

PREPARED BY

Johan Grönstedt · GRAIL

FOR

European mid-market law firm
and compliance advisory people
leaders

DATE

April 2026

Monday, 9:14 a.m.

Every CHRO at a mid-sized European law firm has had the same Monday. Three things in the inbox. Your Head of Talent forwarded Friday's resignation from your best fourth-year associate, the one on the partner track, the one three corporate clients have already called at her new firm. She is the second top-tier fourth-year to leave for the same AI-native litigation boutique in two quarters. Your CFO attached the Mercer thriving report to Thursday's Executive Committee calendar invite, with a single-line note: "I think this is your call, but the partnership will ask. Read before Thursday." Your Managing Partner forwarded a note from the works council opening framework-agreement consultations on three deployments she did not know had shipped.

You have held this seat for four years. The pattern used to be simple. Hire well from the graduate programme. Train the first-years on document review and due diligence. Pair them with a senior associate by year three. Promote the strong ones to counsel by year seven. The partnership engine compounded. The business was understandable.

Something changed. Your training contract used to take seven years to produce a senior associate. Three of those years were document review, due-diligence document analysis, and first-draft research. Agents absorbed those three years last quarter. Some firms have absorbed five quietly. Your A-players are reading every Harvey, Legora, and Spellbook rollout as a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned around them or without them. Your partner-track associate who resigned on Friday was not the first warning. Your works council has more evidence of shadow AI use in the firm than sanctioned use.

You do not have an HR function. You are running a training contract that has lost three of its seven years overnight. Your firm's workforce strategy is being written every week in decisions you were not invited to. The question is not whether to have one. It is whose handwriting the one you already have is in. Your A-players read it last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2032 partner cohort is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

This briefing covers what is happening in your sector, what it means for the people function you run, and the three questions your partnership should answer before the next Executive Committee.

The partnership engine just lost its bottom three years

For two centuries the economic architecture of a law firm ran on a simple exchange. First-years did document review and due diligence under supervision. Senior associates exposed them by osmosis to the judgment calls that became the apprenticeship. Over seven to ten years the strongest associates became counsel and salaried partners. The partnership engine compounded. Junior production work was fifty to seventy percent of your billable revenue. It was also the layer most exposed to AI.

Two blades of the same scissors are cutting at once. On the supply side, agents do contract review, due-diligence document analysis, regulatory research, and first-draft drafting at production grade. Adoption among legal professionals went from eleven percent in 2023 to thirty in 2025 to sixty-nine in 2026. On the demand side, your largest corporate clients are deploying the same tools internally and reducing the scope of instructed work. The 200-hour M&A due diligence becomes 80 hours because the client's agent flagged the obvious before they called the firm. Sixty-four percent of in-house teams expect to bring more work in-house. Your hours get cheaper AND your clients buy fewer of them.

Your training contract used to take seven years to produce a senior associate. Agents absorbed the first three last quarter. The 2032 partner cohort is being decided by what you do about it.

Mannheimer Swartling, Borenus, Bird & Bird are repositioning. The AI-native litigation boutiques and compliance shops are moving faster. Client procurement has the iron grip: seventy-three percent prefer outcome-based pricing. Firms on time-based pricing grew 2.1 percent last year; firms on value-based grew 8.7. Your rainmakers are watching which way the firm moves. With private capital available and the cost of going independent dropping, the lift-out risk is higher than it has been in a decade.

Your people function is feeling it every day

Your talent acquisition. Your best fourth-year resigned on Friday. Your best lateral recruit of the last two quarters came from an AI-native compliance boutique that read your firm's hesitation as opportunity. Your graduate offer-accept rate is holding, but the best graduates are asking in second-round interviews what your firm's AI strategy is, and "we are evaluating tools" is not an answer that wins them. A-players do not quit on the spot. They start looking quietly. By the time lateral attrition moves in the dashboard, they have already decided.

Your professional development. Your firm's CPD budget is allocated to mandatory training, accreditation modules, and an annual conference. None of it is designed for continuous in-the-work coaching, agent-paired practice, or deliberate cohort rotation. Two populations are forming inside your firm whether you designed it or not. The top decile is using AI daily and building judgment about which precedent matters and which structure is novel. The rest is practicing what the 2020 second-year was practicing. Both groups are becoming who they practice being.

Your compensation architecture. Your rate card and partner-track compensation reward billable hours and realisation rate. Agents are compressing the hours and pressuring realisation on routine work. Your rainmakers see the math earlier than the comp committee does. With private capital available and the cost of independence dropping, the top fifteen percent of your equity partners are reading every comp run for a signal about whether the firm is being redesigned around them or without them. Your salaried-partner cohort is unstable because their economics depend on the layer most exposed.

Your employee relations and works council. Your works council has opened framework-agreement consultations on three deployments that shipped without HR in the room. Two were Harvey-tier tools used by associates without partner sign-off. One was Legora rolled out by Knowledge Management. The regulatory load on people functions has stacked: AI literacy documentation, high-risk recruiting and performance-management systems, framework agreements, data-minimisation in agentic tools. None of it shows up on the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk. All of it lands on you.

Your workforce planning and succession. Your 2030-2032 partner-promotion list has six names on it. At least three came through the document review and due-diligence work that agents have already absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external counsel hire in 2032 will cost a thirty to forty percent premium, take eighteen months to integrate, and bring the previous firm's context. The CHRO who quietly cut the first-year cohort in 2024 is the CHRO the partnership blames for the 2032 promotion vote with no credible internal candidate.

The apprenticeship clock was always the architecture

Your sector is repricing from below because the production layer is the layer that trained your future partners. Your people function is stretched because every deployment, every budget line, every framework agreement is a fragment of a workforce strategy somebody is writing while you are not always in the room. Same force, two altitudes. There is a third thing happening that most mid-market law-firm CHROs have not named yet.

Your firm's workforce strategy is being written every week. The Harvey licence approved in litigation without partner sign-off. The headcount line cut in due-diligence support. The Legora rollout pushed by Knowledge Management without HR in the room. Your A-players read the handwriting last month. Your works council is decoding it right now. Your 2032 partner cohort is being shaped by decisions that look like budget items and are actually architecture.

The Royal College of Surgeons faced this exact shape when robotic surgery emerged in the 2000s. Trainees stopped holding the scalpel for routine cases. The intra-operative judgment the residency was producing stopped accumulating. The College's response was to redesign the residency around supervised exposure to complex cases earlier, simulator-augmented practice, and re-rated supervisor evaluation. The mechanism is available to your firm. Agents make it affordable to put first-years next to equity partners on the hardest matters from week one, instead of next to first drafts.

You can tell which camp a firm is in from a single question. Of your candidates for partner promotion in 2030-2032, which ones came through work that still exists? Most mid-market firms cannot answer. The ones that can have already rebuilt the pathway.

You have twelve to eighteen months to author the answer deliberately, or the cost-reduction deck on the CFO's desk writes it for you. Same technology. Same workforce. Different outcome depending on whose handwriting the answer is in.

What most firms are doing. What the winners are doing instead.

Every CHRO has had the AI conversation. Most are making the same four decisions. A smaller group is making different ones.

THE DEFAULT PATH

Restrict AI tools across the firm for compliance reasons

Sixty-nine percent of associates use them anyway. A-players read restriction as mistrust and start looking quietly.

Cut the first-year intake for this year's number

Cheaper realisation in Q1. Empty partner cohort by 2032. External counsel hire at a premium the firm cannot absorb.

Bring firm-wide redesign to the partnership vote

Equity partners whose comp gets compressed have the power to kill it. Vote fails. Lift-out risk rises sharply.

Ship the annual engagement survey as your main HR deliverable

Your cadence is slower than the CFO's quarterly deck. Cost-reduction writes the workforce answer.

THE WINNERS' PATH

Publish three rules that defend real trust

Routing by task sensitivity, not tool. Audit trail writes itself. A-players watch when something goes wrong.

Ship one practice-group role redesigned as the Triad

Equity partner, senior associate, first-year, agent ensemble. Apprenticeship clock compresses seven years to four.

Six rainmakers author their own practice redesigns first

Eight-week council cadence. They bring it to the vote as work they authored. Lift-out risk converts to ownership.

Publish the for-them memo in first 100 days

Two pages, co-signed by the Managing Partner. Every Harvey or Legora rollout decoded against it.

Same partnership. Same twelve months. Opposite outcomes. The difference is whose hand is on the pen.

THREE QUESTIONS

Talent Gravity. Capability Compounding. Succession Readiness.

Three questions every CHRO is tracking. None of them used to be the same question. They are now.

01 · TALENT GRAVITY

Are your A-players staying because of you, or despite you?

Your best fourth-year resigned on Friday to an AI-native boutique. Your best lateral recruit last quarter came from the same place. Your graduate programme is holding, but the best graduates are asking what your firm's AI strategy is in second-round interviews. A-players watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong. The ones who start looking quietly have already decided.

02 · CAPABILITY COMPOUNDING

Is the median associate sharper in December than they were in January?

Two populations are forming in every law firm. The top decile uses agents daily and builds judgment about which precedent matters, which structure is novel, which interpretation will hold. The rest practice what 2020 second-years practiced. Your CPD budget funds mandatory modules and an annual conference. Neither moves the median. The firms pulling ahead make the 90th-percentile workflow the default.

03 · SUCCESSION READINESS

Of your 2032 partner cohort, how many came through work that still exists?

Your promotion list has six names. At least three came through document review, due-diligence work, and first-draft research that agents have absorbed. You have not replaced the pathway. The external counsel hire in 2032 costs a thirty to forty percent premium and brings the previous firm's context. The apprenticeship gets rebuilt now or gets inherited as a gap.

What the firms winning this play are actually doing

Four concrete moves any CHRO can start this quarter. Each addresses one sub-function. Pulled together, they become one answer the firm compounds on.

1

Talent: publish the for-them memo in your first 100 days

Two pages, signed by the Managing Partner. What this firm believes lawyers are for. Which investments are being made in associates becoming more capable. Which roles are being redesigned around judgment and agent partnership. What happens to someone whose role changes materially. Referenced every quarter. Every Harvey or Legora rollout after that gets decoded against this memo. Without the memo, every deployment is decoded against rumour.

2

Development: ship one practice-group role redesigned as the Triad this quarter

Equity partner, senior associate, first-year associate, agent ensemble, as one inseparable delivery unit on a live client matter. The first-year does not fetch precedents. The first-year operates the agent ensemble in real time, in front of the senior associate, on the matter. The senior associate provides matter-level judgment. The partner intervenes at decision-level moments. Exposure-to-judgment hours go from two hundred a year on the old apprenticeship to fifteen hundred on this one. The seven-year training contract compresses to four years. Start with one practice group. Template the next three from the learning.

3

Succession: six rainmakers author their own practice redesigns first

Do not bring a firm-wide redesign to the partnership vote. That vote fails because the partners being asked to approve it are the ones whose comp gets compressed. Invert. Assemble six rainmakers, the ones whose books are largest and whose lift-out risk is highest, as the authoring council. Their first assignment is to redesign their own practice at the task level in eight weeks. They bring it to the vote as work they authored. The six loudest possible critics become the six loudest possible defenders.

4

Trust: publish three rules, one partner-review rule, one red line

Three rules about what associates can do with AI without asking permission. One rule about what requires partner review before leaving the firm. One rule about what never goes to an external agent. Published. Defended to the partnership when an experiment fails. Your A-players watch which way you lean the first time something goes wrong. Published rules create real capability. A thousand small, sensible no's create the illusion of control and the reality of shadow use the works council is now consulting on.

The only firm that joins workforce authorship to working infrastructure

The law-firm CHRO has four places to go. None can do what we do.

Legal-tech and AI-platform vendors (Harvey, Legora, CoCounsel, Spellbook, Luminance, Trubl) sell the tool layer. Building the workforce thesis on the vendor stack licenses your apprenticeship architecture back to the vendor.

Legal-industry consultancies on partnership economics (Edge International, Zeughauser Group, Janders Dean, RSG) trade in how partnerships have always run. When the production layer compresses, their benchmark becomes a liability.

Big Four human-capital practices and HR-tech vendors (Deloitte, Mercer, Korn Ferry, Workday, Eightfold) run survey-and-benchmark models with audit-relationship conflicts. A benchmark smooths the differences that actually matter for partner promotion.

L&D platforms and bar-association CPD (LinkedIn Learning, Coursera, bar CPD catalogues, legal-academy partnerships) sell content libraries. The partner-track pathway is a pattern-exposure problem, not a content-library problem.

The market offers four things you have already said no to. GRAIL is the fifth option.

WHAT YOU GET

Senior advisory speaking partnership, not HR-deck

Working infrastructure your team uses the same week

One practice-group role redesigned at task level

A for-them memo the Managing Partner co-signs

No vendor lock-in at any phase of the engagement

WHY IT MATTERS

Founded by operators who have carried people-adjacent seats

Business first, technology second

Three weeks from first meeting to working infrastructure

Your team keeps the infrastructure, you own the IP

Every next step earned by what just shipped

Three sessions with your people leadership. Working infrastructure from week one.

We start with your people leadership team because the change cannot outrun the team's personal understanding of what is possible. Three workshops. Each delivers standalone value. Each earns the right to the next.

WORKSHOP 01

How to think

Walk through what is happening in your sector and inside your people function. Draft the first page of your for-them memo in the room, with your Managing Partner present. Your leadership leaves with a shared view of what the firm believes lawyers are for, and the first draft of the document the Managing Partner will co-sign.

WORKSHOP 02

Your people infrastructure

A half-day build. Your Head of Talent, Head of Professional Development, Head of Knowledge Management, and Head of Employee Relations each leave with working infrastructure tuned to their function. Triad-unit design template. Rainmaker-council facilitation architecture. Framework-agreement drafting for the works council. Three-rules trust document.

WORKSHOP 03

Ready to run

Refined infrastructure based on real use. The first practice-group role redesigned as a Triad with the incumbent in the room. The published for-them memo, co-signed. The rainmaker-council kickoff agenda. The CFO conversation with numbers the Managing Partner can check: external-hire premium math, cohort-retention forecast, partner-promotion-readiness count.

Three weeks. No IT project. No partnership vote needed to start. The infrastructure your people function is already running on when we finish.

For your next partnership offsite

The questions where your people leadership cannot agree on an answer are the ones worth an hour on the agenda. Ask these honestly.

If you asked three of your best associates privately tomorrow whether the firm's AI strategy is being done for them or to them, what would they say? Do you know the names, and can you describe the evidence for what they would say?

Walk me through one role in your firm that has been redesigned at the task level in the last twelve months. Who did the redesign, what did it change, and what does the incumbent do with their week that they did not do before?

Of the six names on your 2030-2032 partner-promotion list, how many came through document review, due-diligence work, and first-draft research that agents have already absorbed? What is replacing the pathway for the ones whose pathway is gone?

Your workforce strategy is being written this quarter in deployment decisions, budget lines, and framework-agreement openings. How many of those fragments are you the author of, and how many are you decoding after they ship?

Of your rainmakers, the equity partners whose books are largest and whose lift-out risk is highest, have any of them been asked to author the redesign yet, or are they waiting to be presented with a vote on yours?

If any of these produces a disagreement in your people leadership, that is the conversation worth having before the partnership vote.

IN THIS SERIES

There's a version of this briefing for every seat at the table.

GRAIL has produced industry briefings calibrated to the specific reality of each C-suite role.

CEO

Revenue. Margin. Valuation.

Three numbers your board reads. AI is rewriting all three in your industry.

CFO

Capital. Forecast. Story.

What you allocate this year is the story your board reads next year.

COO

Cheaper. Better. Faster.

The triple threat that used to be a tradeoff is now table stakes.

CHRO

▶ YOU ARE READING

Capacity. Capability. Promise.

The biggest talent transition of a career. Compete on capacity, not headcount.

CPTO

Moat. Speed. Allocation.

The platform moat that survives 2028 is being chosen this year.

CCO

Margin. Pace. Position.

The whole GTM playbook is being rewritten in real time, across every commercial team at once.

Visit grail.works for the full series.

GRAIL

An AI-native management consultancy helping European mid-market leadership teams figure out what fundamentally changes about their business as AI enters their industry.

www.grail.works